



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER, FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,412	12/06/2001	Scott Williams	31844.0200	6467

7590 09/09/2003

David O. Caplan
Snell & Wilmer
One Arizona Center
400 East Van Buren
Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, VINH P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2829

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/017,412

Applicant(s)

WILLIAMS ET AL.

Examiner

VINH P NGUYEN

Art Unit

2829

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) VINH P NGUYEN.

(3) Mr. Caplan.

(2) Mr. Williams.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 22 August 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 10 and 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Subramanian (Pat# 5,382,898), Grangroth et al (Pat # 4,649,339) and Hasegawa (pat # 5,982,184).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner discussed with Mr. Williams and Mr. Caplan about the rejections in the office action. Examiner indicated to Mr. Caplan and Mr. Williams that the prior art of record still meet the limitations of the rejected claims 1, 10 and 16. Furthermore, the rejections under 35 USC 112, 1st and 2nd were also discussed. Examiner will consider to withdraw 112, 1st and 2nd rejections after receiving remarks from Applicants...

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required